

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \*

9 DAVID PUTZER, }  
10 Plaintiff, } 3:07-cv-00620-LRH-VPC  
11 vs. } ORDER  
12 JAMES DONNELLY, et al., }  
13 Defendants. }

15 Before the court is Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal/Motion to Reconsider (#101<sup>1</sup>) Judge  
16 Cooke's rulings on items 3 and 10 of the March 26, 2009, Minutes of Proceedings (#98).  
17 Defendants filed their Response to Motion to Reconsider/Motion to Strike (#106).

18 The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff's  
19 opposition, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and  
20 concludes that the Magistrate Judge's ruling is neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

21 The Magistrate Judge's Order (#98) will, therefore, be sustained. Plaintiff's motion  
22 (#101) is denied and Defendants' Motion to Strike (#106) is denied as moot.

23 || IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2009.

Flame

**LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

<sup>1</sup>Refers to this court's docket number.